| T.D. INFORMAL MENO DO NOT HAIL THIS MENO TO APPLICANT.   |   |
|--|---|
| DATE: 2/2/94   |   |
| TO: Examiner: Kim K. Appl. S.H.: 07/8/9 30   | ~ |
| PARALFISM COCCUMENT, Paralegal Art Unit. 1812  | > |
| backshouph and Disclaimer (T.D.) filed.   2/4U   |   |
| INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you concur, please use the appropriate form parametrized in this informal memo in your next Office action to have any Q's at all about the T.D. If you disagree with my analysis or or our Special Program Examiner. THIS MEMO IS AM IMPORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT SHOULD NOT BE MAILED TO APPLICANT |   |
|  |   |
| The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded. (See 1 14.23).   |   |
| for the reason(s) checked below. (See 114.24):   |   |
| ( ) The recording fee of \$ has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account. (See 114.25).  |   |
| ( ) The TrD. does not satisfy Rule 321(a) in that the person who<br>has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her<br>interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business<br>entity represented by the signature) in the application/  |   |
| ( ) The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership<br>clause - needed to overcome & double patenting rejection,<br>Rule 321(b). (See 1114.27, 14.27.1).   |   |
| ( ) It is directed to a particular claim or claims, which<br>is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be of<br>a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent<br>to be granted." (MPEP 1490) (See 1414.26, 14.26.2).  |   |
| [ ] The person who signed the terminal disclaimer:   |   |
| <ul> <li>has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for<br/>the business entity (See 114.28).</li> </ul>   |   |
| ( ) is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (See 1114.29 and possibly 14.29.1).  |   |
| original owner to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is NOTS - This documentary evidence or the specifying of the separate paper. (See 114.30).   |   |
| () No "statement" specifying that the evidentiary documents have been reviewed and certifying that, to the best of the assignee's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee NOTE - This "statement" may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper signed by the assignee. (See 114.31).   |   |
| The T.D. is not signed. (See 1114.26, 14.26.3).  |   |
| [ ] The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double paterling rojection is missing or incorrect. (See \$14.31).  |   |
| ( ) The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases) being disclaimed is missing or incorrect. (See 1114.26, 14.26.4 or 14.26.5).  |   |
| The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified. (See  |   |
| ( ) Other:   |   |
| Suggestion to request refund (See 114.36). (NOTE - if authorized, credit refund to deposit acc't and do NOT check this   |   |
| I have appropriately notified applicant(s) about the T.D. 441  |   |
| Ex. initials and date: Rev. 2/9/93   | ٠ |
| 1 1 1  |   |